

## **AIFA GRANT CATEGORY DESCRIPTORS**

CATEGORY	Originality and Scientific Quality 50%	Significance of Outcomes and Potential 25%	Methodology, Team Quality and Capability 25%
7 Outstanding	The application:  • Has objectives that are well-defined, highly coherent and strongly developed  • Is a near flawless design  • Is without question highly feasible	<ul> <li>The planned research: <ul> <li>Addresses an issue of utmost importance to allergy and immune disease</li> <li>Will translate into outcomes in the science and/or practice of clinical medicine</li> <li>Is highly innovative and introduces advances in concept</li> <li>Will almost certainly result in highly influential publications</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>In the main, comprises the most outstanding researchers in the country for their cohort</li> <li>Is highly recognised for their contribution to their field of research</li> <li>Members have very strong records of other research achievements</li> <li>Has a track record that is highly relevant to the proposed research</li> </ul>
6 Excellent	The application:  Is clear in its intent and logical Is excellent in design Is apparently highly feasible	The planned research:  • Addresses an issue of major importance to allergy and immune disease  • Is innovative with respect to the question being addressed and the approach to it  • Is very likely to result in highly influential publications	<ul> <li>Have a record of achievement that places them in the top 10% of their cohort</li> <li>Members are recognised for their strong contributions to their field of research</li> <li>Members are emerging leaders in their field</li> <li>Members have track record that are very relevant to the proposed research</li> </ul>



## **AIFA GRANT CATEGORY DESCRIPTORS**

5 Very Good	The application:	The planned research:  • Addresses an issue of considerable importance to allergy and other immune diseases  • May contain at least one innovative idea  • May result in several influential publications	<ul> <li>Have a record of achievement that places them will above average for cohort</li> <li>Are well recognised for their contributions to their fields of research</li> <li>Have growing national reputations</li> <li>Have track records in fields relevant to the proposed research</li> </ul>
4 Good	<ul> <li>The application:</li> <li>Is sound in terms of its objectives</li> <li>Contains several areas of concern in the experimental design</li> <li>Raises some concerns about successful completion</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The planned research:</li> <li>Addresses an issue of some importance to allergy and other immune diseases</li> <li>May have some novel aspects, while other extend existing knowledge</li> <li>May result in some influential publications</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Have solid records of achievement</li> <li>Have made contributions to their filed of research</li> <li>Members have track records consistent with the proposed research</li> </ul>
3 Marginal	<ul> <li>The application:</li> <li>Is satisfactory in terms of its objectives, but may not be successful with all of them</li> <li>Has a number of areas of concern</li> <li>Contains several study design problems or flaws</li> </ul>	The planned research:  • Addresses an issue of some concern to allergy and other immune diseases  • Has relatively little novelty  • Is not particularly innovative	The applicant team:  • Members have published a number of works in a field relevant to this application in the last 5 years  • Is deficient in some areas of expertise that will be



## **AIFA GRANT CATEGORY DESCRIPTORS**

2 Unsatisfactory	The application:     Provides a program of research which will only slightly advance current knowledge     Contains a research plan which does not seem feasible in several areas	The planned research:  • Does not address an issue of concern to allergy and other immune diseases  • Is not innovative or significant	required to successfully complete the proposed research  The applicant team:  Is not productive to any significant extent in relevant fields  Does not have the expertise or capacity to successfully complete more than a fraction of the research
1	The application:	The planned research:	The applicant team:
Poor	<ul> <li>Will not advance current knowledge in the field</li> <li>Raises major concerns about the feasibility of the research plan</li> <li>Contains a study design which is inadequate in a number of areas</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Does not address an issue of concern to allergy and other immune diseases</li> <li>Is not innovative or significant</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Is not productive to any significant extent</li> <li>Does not have the expertise or capacity to successfully complete the research</li> </ul>